Case 2:09-cv-01551-MAM Document 1 Filed 04/18/09 (Page 1 of 15

CIVIL COVER SHEET

APPENDIX

The 15 44 civil cover sheet and the interpretation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provide by local rules of court. This form; approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the unit of a Clerk of Court for the purpose of miniation civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

L (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	3	
James Dic	KINSON /		Desimon	e, Inc	
(b) County of Residence	/ ~	Wilacle pha		OF First Listed Defendant ON U.S. PLAINFOR CASE, ND CONDEMNATION CASES	1
			LANI	DINVOLVED:	
(c) Alloney's Firm Name Protheran Werst	o, Address and Telephone Number	10-690-08	Altomeys (If known)		
7 S. Merton 1		10 010 - 05 19070	<i>?€1</i>		
	DICTION (Place an "X" in		III. CITIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only)		S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaint and One Box for Defendant
71 t U.S. Government	🖒 3 Federal Question		PTF	DEF	PIF DEF
Paintiff	(ELS, Government A	Nor a Party)	Citizen of This State 1	□ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or 1 of Business In □	
(7/2 - 1/5 Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity	ool Parties in Henri III i	Offizen of Another State	☐ 2 ☐ 2 Incorporated and of Business to	Principal Place 17 5 17 5 Another State
) OF PAIRIES IN HEIR RIT	Citizen or Subject of a — f Foreign Country	D 3 17 3 Foreign Nation	7 6 (7 s
IV. NATURE OF SUC	Fri (Place an K" in One Bak Only)	Tureign Continuy	Alexander	1 - 4 3 3 4 4 4 - 1
COATRACT	######################################	FS T	FÖRFEITUREPESSILTY		OFFICERSTATUTES
C) 220 Foreclosure C) 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment D) 240 Lets to 1 and C) 245 Lett Product Liability C) 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 315 Abplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libet & Shander ☐ 330 Federal Employers Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Englary ☐ 3441 Voting ☐ 342 Employment ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities ☐ Employment ☐ 1441 Welfare ☐ 1445 Amer. w/Disabilities ☐ 1445 Amer. w/Disabilities ☐ 140 Employment ☐ 1410 Employment	PERSONAL INTURY 362 Personal Injury Med Malpractice 2565 Personal Injury Product Lability 468 Ashéstos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Fruth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 1385 Praperty Damage Product Liability PRISONER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 1360 MORIGON IN VACALE Sentence Habeas Corpus: 1530 General 1535 Déath Penalty 1540 Méndanus & Other 1530 Civil Rights 1535 Person Condition	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other ☐ LABLER. ☐ 710: Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt, Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt, Reporting & Disclosure Act		□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Autorist □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commone □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 800 Seamities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Fortional Acts □ 893 Fortional Matters □ 894 Finergy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from 17 3 Reside Court An	manded from 17 4 pellate:Court e under which you are f	Reinstated of CI 5 Fransfe Reopened (specifications)		Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgement
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	N Brief description of cause	2:			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS / UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		DEMAND \$ 149, 999.99	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE(IF ANY	(See instructions):	DGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	IVEY OF RECORD WWW		APR 13 2009
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		70		3	
RECEIPT# AMO	TAUC	APPLYING IEP	HIDGE	MAG IEDG	rg;

A NEW THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

APPENDIXI

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

James Dick	erson	; ;	OSIVILACT	10 N 5 5 1
V.		:		
Desimone, In	<u>C</u> .	:	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and a side of this form.) In t designation, that defends	n case Manageme serve a copy on all he event that a d int shall, with its parties, a case m	nt Track Desig defendants. (S efendant does first appearanc anagement trac	Reduction Plan of this court nation Form in all civil cases a see § 1:03 of the plan set forth on not agree with the plaintiff ree, submit to the clerk of court sek designation form specifying d.	at the time of on the reverse garding said and serve on
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING	CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cas	ses brought under	28 U.S.C. §22	41 through §22.55.	()
(b) Social Security Cas and Human Services	es requesting rev lenying plaintiff	iew of a decision Social Security	on of the Secretary of Health Benefits	()
(c) Arbitration - Cases re	quired to be desig	mated for arbiti	ration under Local Civil Rule :	53.2. ()
(d) Asbestos - Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	lving claims for p	personal injury	or property damage from	()
(e) Special Management - commonly referred to a the court. (See reverse management cases.)	is complex and th	at need special	or intense management by	Ω
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do no	ot fall into any	one of the other tracks.	
Date	<u>Matthew.</u> B. Attorney-a		Plainteff (9) Attorney for	
6/0-690-0801 Telephone	6/0 <u>- 6</u> 9 @ : FAX Num	0880 ber	Mwe-3birg (A Fewlaw E-Mail Address	_(am

Case 2:09 ca (1551-MAMEED ocumentalist Filed 04/13/09 9 Page 3 155 1 APPENDIX

2.	19155
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7 [(a)] Light according to the property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously for action in this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or waction in this court? Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or waction in this court? Does this case involve the validity of infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or waction in this court? TVIL: (Place in ONE CA FEGORY ONLY) - Pederal Question Cases	d comparing oursing 100/
Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7 [(a)] Light according to the property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously for action in this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or waction in this court? Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or waction in this court? Does this case involve the validity of infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or waction in this court? TVIL: (Place in ONE CA FEGORY ONLY) - Pederal Question Cases	As seep though Owing 10% Of those of its stock?
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number:	Vestl Nati
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously for action in this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or waction in this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case peteruinated action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case peteruinated action in this court? TVIL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) **Product of Cases** B. Divertity J. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance in Insu	Yes 🗆 🖟 OP
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously for action in this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or waction in this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case peteruinated action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case peteruinated action in this court? TVIL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) **Product of Cases** B. Divertity J. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance in Insu	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or w action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity of infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case peternumated action in this court? 1. Does this case involve the validity of infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case peternumated action in this court? 1. Does Action Cases. 1. Does Action Cases. 2. Does Action Cases. 3. Diversity Journal Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts. 4. Diversity Journal Contract, Additional Contracts. 5. Diversity Journal Contract, Additional Contracts. 6. Diversity Journal Contract, Additional Contracts. 7. December 1. Diversity Journal Contracts. 8. Diversity Journal Contracts. 8. Diversity Journal Contracts. 9. Diversity Journal Contracts. 1. Diversity Journal Contracts. 2. Diversity Journal Contracts. 3. Diversity Journal Contracts. 4. Diversity Journal Contracts. 4. Diversity Journal Contracts. 4. Diversity Journal Contracts. 5. Diversity Journal Contracts. 6. Dotter Perducts. 6. Dotter Perducts. 7. Deck appropriate Category. 8. Diversity Journal Contracts. 9. Diversity Journal Contracts. 1. Diversity Journal Contracts. 1. Diversity Journal Contracts. 8. Diversity Journal Contracts. 9. Diversity Journal Contracts. 1. Diversity Journal Contracts. 9. Diversity Journal Contracts. 1. Diversity Journal Contracts. 2. Diversity Journal Contracts. 3. Diversity Journal Contracts. 4. Diversity Journal Contracts. 4.	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or w action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity of infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case peternumated action in this court? 1. Does this case involve the validity of infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case peternumated action in this court? 1. Does Action Cases. 1. Does Action Cases. 2. Does Action Cases. 3. Diversity Journal Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts. 4. Diversity Journal Contract, Additional Contracts. 5. Diversity Journal Contract, Additional Contracts. 6. Diversity Journal Contract, Additional Contracts. 7. December 1. Diversity Journal Contracts. 8. Diversity Journal Contracts. 8. Diversity Journal Contracts. 9. Diversity Journal Contracts. 1. Diversity Journal Contracts. 2. Diversity Journal Contracts. 3. Diversity Journal Contracts. 4. Diversity Journal Contracts. 4. Diversity Journal Contracts. 4. Diversity Journal Contracts. 5. Diversity Journal Contracts. 6. Dotter Perducts. 6. Dotter Perducts. 7. Deck appropriate Category. 8. Diversity Journal Contracts. 9. Diversity Journal Contracts. 1. Diversity Journal Contracts. 1. Diversity Journal Contracts. 8. Diversity Journal Contracts. 9. Diversity Journal Contracts. 1. Diversity Journal Contracts. 9. Diversity Journal Contracts. 1. Diversity Journal Contracts. 2. Diversity Journal Contracts. 3. Diversity Journal Contracts. 4. Diversity Journal Contracts. 4.	
3. Does this case involve the validity of infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case per terruinated action in this court? TVIL: (Place in ONE CAFEGORY ONLY) Rederal Question Cases. B. Diversity J. Performance I. Insurance Insuran	. — A.
3. Does this case involve the validity of infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case per terruinated action in this court? TVIL: (Place in ONE CAFEGORY ONLY) Rederal Question Cases. B. Diversity J. Performance I. Insurance Insuran	Yes No A.
TVIL: (Place in ONE CAFEGORY ONLY) A reteral Question Cases. B. Diversity J. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts I. Insuraction Cases. FELA Jones Act-Personal Injury Assault Antitrust Patent Patent Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Will Rights Will Rights All other Pederal Question Cases (Please Specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damaged the sum of \$150,000,00 exclusive of interest and costs;	Yes No M
TVIL: (Place in ONE CAFEGORY ONLY) A reteral Question Cases. B. Diversity J. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts I. Insuraction Cases. FELA Jones Act-Personal Injury Assault Antitrust Patent Patent Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Will Rights Will Rights All other Pederal Question Cases (Please Specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damaged the sum of \$150,000,00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
WIL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A rederal Question Caset. B. Diversity J. Insurant Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts I. Insurant Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts I. Insurant Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts I. Insurant Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts I. Insurant Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts I. Insurant Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts I. Insurant Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts I. Insurant Contracts I. I	
NUL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A rederal Question Cases. B. Diversity J. Insurance in Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts I. Insurance in Insu	Yes No No
Insurant	
2. Airplan Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault Antitrust 4. Marine Patent 5. Motor v Indoor-Management Relations 6. Other P Invit Rights 7. Products Habeas t'orpus 8. Products Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Pederal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damaged the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	nce Contract and Other Contracts
Jones Act-Personal Injury Antitrust Patent Patent Labor-Management Relations Labo	
Antitrust Patent	ne Personal Injury
Patent Patent S. Motor v Labor-Management Relations 6. Other P Invil Rights 7. Products Habeas Corpus 8. Products Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other I. Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Counsel of tecord do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damaged the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
Labor-Management Relations 6. Other P 1. Products 8. Products 8. Products Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Colleges age All other Pederal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damaged the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	• -
Twil Rights Habeas Corpus Products Securities Act(s) Cases Products Social Security Review Cases Please specify All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Counsel of tecord do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damaged the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	Velifcle Personal Injury
Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases J. Products Securities Act(s) Cases J. All other J. Social Security Review Cases All other Pederal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damaged the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	ersonal Injury (Please specify)
Securities Act(s) Cases [1] Social Security Review Cases [2] All other Pederal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Counsel of tecord do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damaged the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	s Islability
All other Pederal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damaged the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	s Liability - Asbestos
All other Pederal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) ———————————————————————————————————	r Diversity Cases
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check uppropriate Category) counsel of record do hereby certify: Pulsuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damaged the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
Check appropriate Category)	(Corry)
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damaged the sum of \$150,000,00 exclusive of interest and costs; [7] Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
	es recoverable in this civil action case
E:	
Auomey-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliant	
ify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any ease now pending or within one year previous t as noted above.	ance with E.P. C.D. ac
A	ance with F.R.C.P. 38. ly terminated action in this court
509 (4/03) Attorney-at-Law	ance with F.R.C.P. 38. Sly terminated action in this court APR 1 3 2009 Attorney 1.D.#

*AO 398 Case 2:09-cv-01551-MAM Document 1 Filed 04/13/09 Page 4 of 15

NOTICE OF LAWSUIT AND REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

TO: (A)	
as (B)	of(C)_
A lawsuit has been co complaint is attached to this n (D) and has been assigned docket i	numenced against you (or the entity on whose behalf you are addressed). A copy of the otice. It has been filed in the United States District Court for the District of
complaint. The cost of service within (F)	mons or notification from the court, but rather my request that you sign and return the refer to save the cost of serving you with a judicial summons and an additional copy of the will be avoided if I receive a signed copy of the waiver after the date designated below as the date on which this Notice and Request deaddressed envelope (or other means of cost-free return) for your use. An extra copy for your records.
that you will not be obligated to	s request and return the signed waiver, it will be filed with the court and no summons ion will then proceed as if you had been served on the date the waiver is filed; except a answer the complaint before 60 days from the date designated below as the date on one 90 days from that date if your address is not in any judicial district of the United
Rules, ask the court to require :	signed waiver within the time indicated, I will take appropriate steps to effect formally the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and will then, to the extent authorized by those you (or the party on whose behalf you are addressed) to pay the full costs of such that read the statement concerning the duty of parties to waive the service of the foot of the waiver form.
I affirm that this request	is being sent to you on behalf of the plaintiff, this day of
,,	
	Am vm
	Signature of Plaintiff's Attorney or Unrepresented Plaintiff
	so concluence transfill

A. Name of individual defendant for name of officer or agent of corporate defendant)

B. Fitle, or other relationship of judividual to corporate defendant

C. Name of corporate defendant, if any

D-District

E -- Docket number of action

F - Addressee must be given at least 30 days (60 days if located in foreign country) in which to return waiver

NAM

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

(Rev. 10.95)

	(NAME OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY OR (NREPRESENTED PLATNETEF)
Ι,	(DRFENDANT NAME)	, acknowledge receipt of your request
that I waive service of summons	in the action of	
which is ease number	09 1551	(CAPHÓN DE ACTION)
for the	ибоекет мимвек) District of	in the United States District Court
I have also received a copy o return the signed waiver to you w	f the complaint by the and	ies of this instrument, and a means by which I ca
I (or the entity on whose beha or venue of the sourt except for ob	If Lam acting) will retain all defenses jections based on a defect in the sum	py of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring if process in the manner provided by Rule 4. For objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction mons or in the service of the summons. Ity on whose behalf I am acting) if an
	1	or whose beliati I am aching) if an
answer or motion under Rule 12 is	not served upon you within 60 days	after
	not served upon you within 60 days a he request was sent outside the Unite	after
n within 90 days after that date if t	not served upon you within 60 days a	after
	not served upon you within 60 days a	after
ਸ within 90 days after that date if t	not served upon you within 60 days a	after (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT) ed States.
ਸ within 90 days after that date if t	not served upon you within 60 days a	after (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT) ed States.

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving innecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being unitfied of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons jetains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

A defendant who waives service must within the time specified on the waiver form serve on the plaintiff's attorney (or unrepresented plaintiff) a response to the complaint and must also file a signed copy of the response with the court. If the answer or motion is not served within this time, a default judgment may be taken against that defendant. By waiving service, a defendant is allowed more time to answer than if the summons had been actually served when the request for waiver of service was received.

Case 2:09-cv-01551-MAM Document 1 Filed 04/13/09 Page 6 of 15

WAI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

James Dickerson 430 W. Manheim Street, Apt. #45A Philadelphia, PA 19144

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.:

V.

DeSimone, Inc. d/b/a DeSimone Auto Group 6101 Frankford Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19153

And

Randy Foreman d/b/a De Simone Auto Group 6101 Frankford Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19153

And

Anthony Weiss d/b/a DeSimone Auto Group 6101 Frankford Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19153

And

John Does 1-10

09 1551

FILED

X 14.

APR 1 3 2009

: JURY OF TWELVE (12) JURORS DEMANDED

Mary State

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Defendants.

I. Preliminary Statement

1. This is an action for an award of attorney's fees and costs, actual, statutory, treble and punitive damages, also seeking equitable and other relief, brought under state and federal law, for Defendants' "Yo-Yo," unfair and deceptive acts and practices ("UDAP"), conspiracy and/or aiding and abetting same, fraud, misrepresentation, and violation of Plaintiff's civil rights under 42 U.S.C § 1983, et seq.

2. Defendants unfairly and deceptively offered Plaintiff a truck financed with no money down, ultimately reneging on that agreement and causing Plaintiff's arrest. Individually, jointly and/or severally, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for, but not limited to, the below causes of action and aforesaid remedies, for the reasons stated, which reasons are currently known, upon information and/or belief, and/or will be proven in discovery and/or at trial.

II. Jurisdiction and Venue

- Jurisdiction in this Honorable Court is based on federal question and/or diversity conferred by 28 U.S.C. §1331; supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims is granted by 28 USC §1367.
- 4. Venue lies in this district in that the events giving rise to this claim occurred here, at least one (1) Defendant resides, maintains a principal place of business, and/or does business here, and/or the property and/or mortgage which is the subject of this action is situated within this district.

III. Parties

- Plaintiff, James Dickerson, is an adult individual, currently residing at the above captioned address.
- 6. Defendant, DeSimone, Inc., doing business as DeSimone Auto Group ("DeSimone"), is a business corporation, duly licensed and registered under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a principal place of business at the above captioned address.
- 7. Defendant, Randy Foreman, is an adult individual who at all times material served as general manager of DeSimone and at all times material acting in the course and scope of his employment, as an agent, servant and employee for said Defendant at the above-captioned

address, who made some of the below mentioned fraudulent and misrcpresentative statements to Plaintiff.

- 8. Defendant, Anthony Weiss, is an adult individual who at all times material served as a sales representative of DeSimone and at all times material acting in the course and scope of his employment, as an agent, servant, and employee for said Defendant at the above-captioned address, who made some of the below mentioned fraudulent and misrepresentative statements to Plaintiff.
- 9. Defendants, John Does 1-10, were and/or are an individual at all times material acting in the course and scope of their employment at DeSimone, as an agent, servant and employee for said Defendant at the above-captioned address.

IV. Statement of Claims

- 10. On or about January 12, 2008, Plaintiff received a call from a representative of Defendant, DeSimone's, finance department informing Plaintiff that he was pre-approved for a vehicle of his choice.
- 11. During that call, Plaintiff was advised that a loan to finance a vehicle with no money down was already secured on his behalf by Defendant, DeSimone.
- 12. The caller instructed Plaintiff to bring proof of income and a utility bill indicating his address to Defendant, DeSimone.
- 13. On or around January 12, 2008, Plaintiff went to Defendant, DeSimone and purchased a 2004 Chevy Silverado ("Silverado") for a purchase price of \$16,383.05, after being told that he was pre-approved for a no money down purchase loan.
- 14. Plaintiff's belief was based upon a thank you letter received on or around January 14, 2008, signed by Defendant, Weiss.

- 15. Upon return to his home with the Silverado, Plaintiff installed a large toolbox in the bed of the truck and removed the spinning rims that were installed on the truck replacing them with better quality rims.
- 16. On or around January 17, 2008, Defendant, Weiss called Plaintiff at home and asked him if he had \$1,500.00 to pay toward the purchase price of the Silverado.
- 17. When Plaintiff responded that he did not, Defendant, Weiss then asked Plaintiff if he had \$1,000.00.
- 18. Plaintiff was under the impression that the amounts requested by Defendant, Weiss, were to be applied to the first monthly installment payment on the Silverado.
- 19. Plaintiff informed Defendant, Weiss, that Plaintiff would need to contact his credit union for a cash advance, as Plaintiff was led to believe at that time that he would be paying the first installment payment toward the purchase price of the Silverado.
- 20. At or around that time, Plaintiff began receiving notices of rejection for various auto loans applied for in his name by Defendant, DeSimone.
- 21. The letters from the financial institutions stated their reasons for rejecting the loan requests made in Plaintiff's name as being due to the fact that the requests were for a greater amount than the value of the Silverado.
- 22. On or around January 21, 2008, Plaintiff believed that Defendant, DeSimone had failed to secure financing on his behalf.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff later discovered that Defendant, DeSimone, had, in fact, financed Plaintiff itself but Defendant's attempt to sell that loan to another lender for a profit to Defendant was unsuccessful.

- 24. On or around January 22, 2008, Plaintiff returned with the Silverado to Defendant, DeSimone, in order to voluntarily return it.
- 25. Prior to returning the Silverado to Defendant, DeSimone, Plaintiff removed his toolbox from the truck bed but forgot to replace the original rims.
- 26. On that date, Defendant, Foreman, called Plaintiff angrily accusing Plaintiff of vandalizing "his [Defendant, DeSimone's] Silverado," threatening to have Plaintiff arrested.
- 27. On or around January 24, 2008, at the behest of Defendants, Plaintiff was arrested at his home by officers of the Philadelphia Police Department, who advised Plaintiff that he was under arrest for theft in regard to his replacement of the rims on the Silverado.
- 28. Plaintiff showed the officers his sale paperwork for the Silverado and the rims, but the officers completed the arrest.
- 29. On or around January 25, 2008, after spending the night confined in the Philadelphia Police Department's central lockup, Plaintiff was charged with one Count each of Theft by Unlawful Taking and Receiving Stolen Property.
- 30. On that date, Plaintiff was released on his own recognizance after a preliminary arraignment, and an arraignment and preliminary hearing were set on or about February 1, 2008, at the Philadelphia Police Department's 8th District, before the Honorable Teresa Carr Deni of the Philadelphia Municipal Court.
- 31. That hearing was ultimately continued to April 25, 2008, before the Honorable Patrick F. Dugan of the Philadelphia Municipal Court.
- 32. Plaintiff was represented throughout the criminal proceeding by the Philadelphia Defender's Office.

- 33. On or around April 25, 2008, Plaintiff appeared at his preliminary hearing at the 8th District, at which Defendant, Foreman, appeared to testify.
- 34. At that hearing, Defendant, Foreman, testified that he turned over possession of the Silverado to Plaintiff solely so that Plaintiff could go and get his own financing.
- 35. At that hearing, Judge Dugan Ordered Plaintiff to be tried on both criminal charges in the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas.
- 36. At that hearing, Plaintiff's case was scheduled to be tried on August 6, 2008.
- 37. On or around August 6, 2008, Plaintiff appeared in court but the trial was continued for lack of preparation by the Commonwealth, to September 16, 2008.
- 38. On or around September 16, 2008, the trial was continued once again, this time to October 15, 2008.
- 39. On or around October 15, 2008, Plaintiff appeared in court but the trial was continued yet again to December 23, 2008.
- 40. On or around December 23, 2008, Plaintiff appeared before the Honorable Michael Erdos of the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas; Plaintiff requested a jury trial.
- 41. Jury selection was to begin on January 6, 2009.
- 42. On or about January 6, 2009, the Honorable Gwendolyn N. Bright of the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas Ordered both charges nolle prossed upon request of the Philadelphia District Attorney's office, thus ending the criminal proceeding in favor of Plaintiff.

V. Causes of Action

COUNT I Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law ("UTPCPL")

43. Paragraphs 1-42 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.

- 44. The UTPCPL proscribes, *inter alia*, engaging in any "unfair or deceptive acts and practices" either at, prior to, or subsequent to a consumer transaction.
- 45. The actions of Defendants constitute unfair or deceptive acts and practices under UTPCPL, additionally including, but not limited to the following, *inter alia*:
 - a. Causing likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding as to the source, sponsorship, approval or certification of services, §201-2(4)(ii);
 - b. Causing likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding as to affiliation, connection or association with, or certification by, another, §201-2(4)(iii);
 - c. Representing that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits or quantities that they do not have or that a person has a sponsorship, approval, status, affiliation, or connection that person does not have, §201-2(4)(v);
 - d. Advertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised, §201-2(4)(ix);
 - e. Failing to comply with the terms of any written guarantee or warranty given to the buyer at, prior to or after a contract forthe purchase of goods or services is made, \$201-2(4)(xiv);
 - f. Defendants misrepresented to Plaintiffs the character, extent, or amount of the debt or its status in a legal proceeding, 73 P.S. §201-3.1; 37 Pa. Code §303.3(3);
 - g. Defendants engaged in fraudulent or deceptive conduct which created a likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding, 73 P.S. §201-2(xxi);
 - h. Defendants imposed credit costs expressly prohibited by Federal and Pennsylvania law, and failed to comply with §1983, ECOA, CSA, and the FCEUA, which are per se violations of the UTPCPL;

- i. Defendants misrepresented to Plaintiffs that the loan would be beneficial when in fact it was not; and Defendants knew it was not, 79 P.S. §202-1(v);
- j. Defendants misrepresented the characteristics or benefits of the loan; or
- k. Failing to provide a contract in the form required specifically including a lawful and accurate notice of right to cancel, §201-7.

COUNT II Motor Vehicle Sales Finance Act ("MVSFA")

49. At all times material, Defendants were in violation of the MVSFA, 61 P.S. §601, et seq., including the foregoing (incorporated by reference).

COUNT III Equal Credit Opportunity Act ("ECOA")

50. At all times material, Defendants were in violation of ECOA, 15 U.S.C. §1694(e), et seq., including but not limited to Defendants' failing to report to Plaintiff the adverse action of its making and then rescinding the loan.

<u>COUNT IV</u> Fraud/Fraudulent Misrepresentation

51. Defendants misrepresented and/or omitted material facts to Plaintiff, including the aforesaid (incorporated herein by reference) and as more further described as following but not limited to: (a) that Defendants had not secured financing on Plaintiff's behalf, when they had; and (b) knowingly and maliciously falsely accusing Plaintiff of a crime and related misuse of the Philadelphia Police Department and court system when Plaintiff had, in fact, committed no criminal offense.

- 52. The aforesaid misrepresentations and/or omissions were made in an attempt to procure pecuniary gain from a security interest in the Silverado, monetary consideration from the Plaintiff, and/or otherwise from the proceeds of the loan.
- 53. As the intended result of the aforesaid fraud, Plaintiff reasonably relied upon said misrepresentations and/or omissions to his detriment.

COUNT V Abuse of Process

- 55. For the aforesaid, Defendants committed an abuse of process.
- 56. The filing of the Underlying Action was intentional, wanton, malicious and with reckless disregard of the rights of Plaintiff.

<u>COUNT VI</u> Malicious Prosecution

- 57. Defendants initiated a criminal proceeding against the plaintiff; the criminal proceeding ended in the plaintiff's favor; the proceeding was initiated without probable cause; the defendants acted maliciously or for a purpose other than bringing the plaintiff to justice; and the plaintiff suffered deprivation of liberty consistent with the concept of seizure as a consequence of the legal proceeding.
- 58. Here, Defendant, Foreman, filed a criminal complaint against Plaintiff; the charges were nolle prossed and thus concluded in Plaintiff's favor; there was no probable cause to initiate the criminal proceeding against Plaintiff; Defendant Foreman acted maliciously and for the purpose of attempting to intimidate Plaintiff into paying for the Silverado; and Plaintiff spent a night in jail, which constitutes a seizure.

<u>COUNT VII</u> Violation of Civil Rights

59. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as fully as if set forth in their entirety.

60. To perpetuate their misconduct, Defendants clothed themselves with color of state authority through the use of the Philadelphia Police Department, Municipal Court, and Court of Common Pleas.

61. The Police Department and Courts are state actors per 42 U.S.C. §1983, et seq.

62. Defendants' willful, reckless and malicious actions were made in an effort to deprive Plaintiff of his rights as set forth above and pursuant to U.S. Const. Amend. I, IV, V and XIV.

VI. Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests this Honorable Court enter judgment in his favor and against Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000), plus such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems necessary and just, and to Order the following relief:

a. Statutory damages;

b. Forfeiture and return of any loan proceeds or other monies paid to Defendants by Plaintiff;

c. Damages, including;

i. Actual damages;

ii. Treble & punitive damages; and

iii. Attorneys' fees and expenses, and costs of suit.

d. equitable relief.

PROCHNIAK WEISBERG, P.C.

/s/Matthew B. Weisberg
MATTHEW B. WEISBERG
ISAAC F. SLEPNER
Attorneys for Plaintiff